UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,859	12/26/2003	Dean Pettit	501247.00298	2768
22908 BANNER & W	7590 07/28/200 TTCOFF, LTD.	8	EXAMINER	
TEN SOUTH V	VACKER DRIVE		REDMAN, JERRY E	
SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/747,859	PETTIT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerry Redman	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 21-26 is/ar 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-20 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	re withdrawn from consideration. or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be considered as a considered to by the E.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1-10 and 21-26 are withdrawn from consideration; and Claims 11-20 (27-30 newly added) are herein addressed below.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-20 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheldon et al. (5,992,907) in view of Bujese et al. (4,229,096). Sheldon et al. ('907) disclose an integrated tilt-latch/sash lock assembly (10) comprising an upper sash (16) having a keeper (38), a lower sash (14), a master frame (18) mounted both sashes, a rotor assembly comprising a sash lock having a separate escutcheon (30) and housing (the portion which extends below the escutcheon (30)) and further having an engaging tab (any portion that extends from the rotational center) and an upper surface, a cam/rotor (36) having first and second flanges (figure 2, having ridges) and having a shaft (54), an actuator (50), a handle (54), a spool (58) having an internal opening and a slot (60), a fastener received therein (the fastener is the friction associated with the slot of the spool/pawl (58) which grips the connectors), and a first and second semi-rigid connectors (62, one on each side) connecting the spool/pawl (58) to first and second spring biased latch bolts (20 and 22) coupled to a slide (68) which slides back and forth. Sheldon et al. ('907) fails to show indicia. Bujese et al.

(4,229,096) disclose the housing/escutcheon having indicia. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the handle of Sheldon et al. ('907) with indicia as taught by Bujese et al. ('096) since indicia allows one to visually see the multiple positions of the handle.

Page 3

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. It appears that the applicant's arguments are more limiting than that of the claims. The applicant's amendments have broadened the claims and the art of record still reads on the claims. The phraseology "adapted to...." fails to positively claim the recited invention and therefore carries little to no patentable weight. Furthermore, the applicant argues that Bujese et al. is non-analogous art. The Examiner respectively disagrees. Bujese et al. teaches the use of indicia on a handle such that one is able to position of the handle to the proper indicia and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would look to Bujese et al. for the teaching of indicia and handles rotating handles per se.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Redman whose telephone number is 571-272-6835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Mitchell, can be reached on 5711-272-1000. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,859 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

/Jerry Redman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634